

1400 K Street, Suite 400 • Sacramento, California 95814 Phone: 916.658.8200 Fax: 916.658.8240 www.cacities.org

# Major Provisions of AB 14841

1. Three payments: Successor agency must make three payments:

 July 12: Taxing entities' share of December 2011 property tax distribution to redevelopment agency/successor agency

November 9+/-: Low-Moderate Income Housing Fund

• April 10 +/-: Unencumbered cash

In addition to these three payments, if a successor agency did not make complete 2011-12 pass-through payments, amount of payment not made will be deducted from property tax distribution from auditor-controller.<sup>2</sup>

- 2. New audit by October 1: Successor agency must retain licensed accountant to audit books:<sup>3</sup>
  - Audit of LMIHF
  - Audit of cash assets
  - Audit of cash transfers to public agencies and private parties<sup>4</sup>

## 3. New penalties:

• Failure to make July 12 payment: successor agency subject to civil penalty of 10% of the amount owed plus 1.5% of the amount owed for each month that payment is not made unless DOF finds that payment of penalty will jeopardize payment of enforceable obligations. Until payment is made,

<sup>1.</sup> The League will continue to refine this analysis with the assistance of its RDA Attorney Working Group and other city officials.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Additional information about these payments is found in the Appendix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Agreed-upon procedures audit completed by auditor-controller can substitute for the licensed accountant audit if it includes all statutory requirements

<sup>4</sup> Successor agency must attempt to recover cash transferred to public agency without an enforceable obligation.

successor agency may only pay bond debt. City subject to same civil penalty. City will not receive July 18 sales tax payment (up to amount owed).<sup>5</sup>

- <u>Failure to transfer LMIHF funds</u>: Offset of city sales tax or property tax of the amount required to be transferred<sup>6</sup>
- <u>Failure to transfer cash assets</u>: Offset of city sales tax or property tax of the amount required to be transferred<sup>7</sup>
- Failure to recover cash transferred to local agency without enforceable obligation: Offset of sales tax or property tax of the local agency to which the cash was transferred.<sup>8</sup>
- Failure to submit ROPS by September 1, 2012 and subsequent deadlines: City to pay civil penalty of \$10,000 per day for each day beyond deadline

# 4. Safe Harbor: Finding of Completion9

The Department of Finance will issue a <u>finding of completion</u> to a successor agency that pays the following amounts:

- ✓ The amount determined in the audit of the LMIHF<sup>10</sup>
- ✓ The amount determined in the audit of all other funds<sup>11</sup>
- ✓ The amount (if any) owing to taxing entities from the December 2011 property tax payment¹²

The following applies to a successor agency that is issued a finding of completion:

✓ <u>Loan agreements</u> entered into between the redevelopment agency and the city are deemed to be enforceable obligations if oversight board makes a finding that loan was for legitimate redevelopment purposes. As enforceable obligations, payments are listed on ROPS¹³.

Repayments of loans may not begin prior to 2013-14 fiscal year at maximum amount described in statute. Repayment amounts received by city must first be used to retire outstanding amounts borrowed and owed to LMIHF of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 34183.5(b)(2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 34179.6(h)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 34179.6(h)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 34179.6(h); see, also 34179.8

<sup>9</sup> Section 34191.1.

<sup>10</sup> Section 34179.6

<sup>11</sup> Section 34179.6

<sup>12</sup> Section 34183.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> DOF continues to retain final authority to approve items listed on ROPS.

former redevelopment agency for purposes of the SERAF payment. 20% of loan repayment amount must be transferred to LMIH Asset Fund. 14

- ✓ Bond proceeds derived from bonds issued on or before 12/31/10 shall be used for the purposes for which the bonds were sold. Proceeds which cannot be spent consistent with bond covenants shall be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.¹⁵ Use of bond proceeds listed on ROPS.¹⁶
- ✓ Real property assets: In lieu of the provisions of AB 26 which require disposal of real property assets at the direction of the oversight board, successor agency prepares a long-range property management plan and submits to oversight board and DOF for approval. Permissible uses of property include retention for governmental use; retention for future development; sale of property; use of the property to fulfill enforceable obligations. If plan directs use or liquidation of property for a project identified in an approved redevelopment plan, the property shall transfer to the city. No transfers until plan approved by oversight board and DOF.¹¹
- ✓ <u>Statute of Limitations</u>: The longer statutes of limitations (2 years) to challenge actions of the former redevelopment agencies do not apply. <sup>18</sup>

## 5. New Power of State Controller 19

AB 1484 directs the Controller to review the activities of successor agencies to determine whether an asset transfer occurred <u>after</u> January 31, 2012, between the successor agency and the city or county that created the redevelopment agency, or any other public agency that was not pursuant to an enforceable obligation on an approved ROPS. The Controller is directed to order the assets returned to the successor agency. "City" is defined very broadly to include any entity which is controlled by the city or for which the city is financially responsible or accountable.<sup>20</sup>

## 6. Increase in authority for Department of Finance

 DOF may eliminate or modify any item on an oversight board-approved ROPS. The auditor-controller must distribute property tax in accordance with changes made to the ROPS by DOF. If successor agency disputes DOF

<sup>14 34191.4(</sup>b)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> 34191.4(c)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> DOF continues to retain final authority to approve items listed on ROPS.

<sup>17</sup> Section 34191.5

<sup>18</sup> Section 33500, 33501

<sup>19</sup> Section 34178.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Section 34167.10. <u>AB 26</u> directed the State Controller to review asset transfers from redevelopment agencies to the city or county that created the agency that occurred after January 1, 2011. If the city or county was not contractually committed to a third party for the expenditure or encumbrance of those assets, the Controller was directed to order the return the assets to the redevelopment agency or successor agency.

action, disputed item may be carried on ROPS. If dispute resolved in favor of successor agency in the future, the past allocation of property tax to the successor agency is not changed nor is a "liability" created for any affected taxing entity.<sup>21</sup>

DOF may review and object to oversight board actions approving (1)
 establishment of new repayment terms for outstanding loans; and (2) setting
 aside amounts in reserves as required by bond indentures, and similar
 documents<sup>22</sup>

## 7. New restrictions on authority of Successor agency

- No new enforceable obligations except (1) as specifically authorized by the statute; (2) in compliance with enforceable obligations that existed prior to June 28, 2011; or (3) to hire staff, acquire professional services and procure insurance.<sup>23</sup>
- May not transfer revenues or powers to any other public or private party except pursuant to enforceable obligation on an approved ROPS. Any such transfer of authority or revenues are "void" and successor agency required to reverse transfers. Controller may audit and order return of transfers of authority or revenues.<sup>24</sup>
- Actions taken by redevelopment agencies pursuant to VARP (Voluntary Alternative Redevelopment Program in AB 27) are "ultra vires" and do not create enforceable obligations.<sup>25</sup>
- If successor agency exercised power to reenter into agreements with city (section 34178) and agreement was approved by oversight board but rejected by DOF, successor agency and oversight board may not act to restore funding for the reentered agreement.<sup>26</sup>
- No reestablishment of loan agreements between successor agency and city except pursuant to safe harbor provisions.<sup>27</sup>

### 8. Miscellaneous

 <u>City loans to successor agency</u>: City may loan or grant funds for administrative costs, enforceable obligations or project-related expenses. Receipt and use of these funds shall be reflected on the ROPS or in the

<sup>21</sup> Section 34179(h)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Section 34181(f)

<sup>23</sup> Section 34177.3(a); 34177.3(b)

<sup>24</sup> Section 34177.3(c)

<sup>25</sup> Section 34177.3(d)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Section 34178(a)

<sup>27</sup> Section 34180(a)

administrative budget subject to oversight board approval. An enforceable obligation is created for repayment of loans.<sup>28</sup>

- New Oversight Board Provisions<sup>29</sup>
- ✓ Auditor-controller may determine "largest special district"
- ✓ Section 1090 does not apply to employee representative on oversight board
- ✓ Oversight board members are protected by immunities applicable to public entities and public employees
- ✓ Meetings at which oversight board will consider disposal of successor agency assets or allow set-aside of reserves required by bond indentures requires 10 days' public notice.<sup>30</sup>
- ✓ Written notice and information about all oversight board actions must be provided to DOF by electronic means. DOF has 40 (instead of 10) days to review and approve, reject, or modify oversight board action.
- ✓ Oversight board may direct successor agency to provide additional legal or financial advice.
- ✓ Authorized to contract with the county or other public or private agencies for administrative support
- ✓ On matters within its purview, decisions made by oversight board "supersede those made by the successor agency or the staff of the successor agency."<sup>31</sup>
- New authority for auditor-controller<sup>32</sup>: A county auditor-controller can object to an item on the ROPS or to the funding source listed for an item on the ROPS. Objections are sent to DOF to resolve.
- Polanco Act protection for successor agency: Cleanup plans and liability limits of redevelopment agency transferred to successor agency and to housing entity, upon entity's request.<sup>33</sup>
- Limited authority for successor agency to refinance existing debt.<sup>34</sup>
- Successor agency is separate public entity.<sup>35</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Section 34175(h)

<sup>29</sup> Section 34180

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Section 34181(f)

<sup>31</sup> Section 34179

<sup>32</sup> Section 34182.5

<sup>33</sup> Section 34173(f)

<sup>34</sup> Section 34177.5

<sup>35</sup> Section 34173(g)

## Appendix - Successor Agency Required Payments/Fund Transfers

### √ Transfer of Unencumbered Balances<sup>36</sup>

AB 26 requires that a successor agency transfer unencumbered cash balances and low and moderate income housing funds to the county auditor-controller for distribution to the taxing entities. AB 1484 requires a successor agency to retain the services of a licensed accountant to audit (1) the balance in the LMIHF; (2) the balance in other cash funds; (3) cash payments that were made in compliance with an enforceable obligation; and (4) cash transfers that were made without an enforceable obligation. In addition to transferring the balances in the LMIHF and other cash funds, a successor agency must make efforts to recover the cash transferred without an enforceable obligation.

## ✓ Payment of December 2011 Taxing Entity Property Tax<sup>37</sup>

AB 26 distributes property tax through a "waterfall" of payments which includes passthrough payments, payments to successor agencies for enforceable obligations, payments to successor agencies for administrative costs, and payments to taxing entities. The waterfall for the December 2011 property tax payment did not operate as intended because of the stay imposed by the Court in *Matosantos*. The property tax payment to taxing entities was not made. AB 1484 requires successor agencies to make those payments by July 12.

## ✓ Payment of 2011-12 Passthrough Payments

Some successor agencies made 2011-12 passthrough payments and some did not. <u>AB 1484</u> requires the auditor-controller to reduce property tax payments to those successor agencies that did not make pass through payments in 2011-12.

<sup>36</sup> Section 34179.5; 34179.6

<sup>37</sup> Section 34183.5



1400 K Street, Suite 400 • Sacramento, California 95814 Phone: 916.658.8200 Fax: 916.658.8240 www.cacities.org

### AB 1484: Important Dates

July 9: County auditor-controller notifies successor agency of amount of funds

owing taxing entities based upon December 2011 property tax payment<sup>1</sup>

July 12: Successor agency must make payment to auditor-controller for deposit

into Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund and distribution to taxing

entities.2

July 16: Auditor-controller distributes money received from successor agencies to

taxing entities. Monies received after July 12 date distributed within 5

days of receipt.3

July 18: City sales tax payment suspended if successor agency doesn't

make July 12 payment.4

August 1: Successor housing entity must submit to DOF a list of housing assets that

contains explanation of how assets meet criteria set forth in the law. DOF will prescribe format for list. DOF may object to any of the assets within 30 days. If after meet and confer, DOF continues to object, asset

must be returned to the successor agency.5

August 10: Successor housing entity notifies successor agency of any designations

of use or commitments of funds that successor housing entity authorizes

successor agency to retain.6

August 15 +/-: Oversight board meets to consider ROPS for January 1, 2013 through

June 30, 2013 which must be submitted to DOF by September 1.

September 1: ROPS for January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013 must be submitted

electronically to DOF <u>after</u> oversight board approval. DOF makes determinations within 45 days. Within 5 days of determination, successor

agency may request additional review and meet and confer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 34183.5(b)(2)(A). Note: The statute, that may be drafted in error, states that if June 1 property tax payment has not been made to successor agencies, the amount owing to taxing entities will be deducted from that same June 1 payment (34183.5(b)(1)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 34183.5(b)(2)(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 34183.5(b)(2)(A).

<sup>4</sup> Section 34183.5(b)(2)(A)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 34176(a)(2). Definition of "housing asset" found at section 34176(e).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 34179.6(c)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 34177(m). Future ROPS must be submitted to DOF 90 days prior to property tax distribution. City subject to civil penalty of \$10,000 per day for successor agency's failure to timely submit ROPS (Section 34177(m)(2)).

October 1: Auditor-controller may provide notice to successor agency of any objections to items on January – June 2013 ROPS.8

October 1: Successor agency submits to oversight board, county auditor-controller, State Controller, and DOF results of the review of the LMIHF conducted by the licensed accountant agency must retain. Note: licensed accountant must be approved by the county auditor-controller.

October 1: County auditor-controller completes agreed-upon procedures audit of each redevelopment agency. Auditor-controller provides estimate of property tax payments to successor agency for upcoming six-month period.

October 15: Oversight Board must review, approve, and transmit LMIHF audit to DOF, auditor-controller. Note that oversight board must hold a public session to consider audit at least five business days prior to the meeting of oversight board in which LMIHF audit is considered for approval. 12

November 9: Last day for DOF to complete review of LMIHF audit and reports findings, determinations, and decision to overturn oversight board decision to allow retention of successor agency assets.<sup>13</sup>

W/in 5 days of receipt of DOF

audit findings: Successor agency may request meet and confer to resolve disputes with DOF findings on LMIHF audit. DOF must confirm or modify its determination and decisions within 30 days.

W/in 5 days of receipt of DOF final audit

determination: Successor agency to transfer LMIHF funds to auditor-controller. <sup>15</sup> City sales tax/property tax may be offset for unfunded amounts.

December 1: Successor agency may report to auditor-controller that total amount of available revenues will be insufficient to fund enforceable obligations. <sup>16</sup>

<sup>8</sup> Section 34182.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Section 34179.6(a). The requirement to retain a licensed accountant is found in section 34179.5. The audit provided by the county auditor-controller can be substituted for an audit by a licensed accountant if it contains the information required by Section 34179.5.

<sup>10</sup> Section 34182(a)(1).

<sup>11</sup> Section 34182(c)(3)

<sup>12</sup> Section 34179.6(c) and (b)

<sup>13</sup> Section 34179.6(d)

<sup>14</sup> Section 34179.6(e)

<sup>15</sup> Section 34179.6(f)

<sup>16</sup> Section 34183(b)

December 15: Successor agency submits to oversight board, county auditor-controller, State Controller, and DOF results of the review of all other fund and account balances by licensed accountant.<sup>17</sup>

### 2013

January 2: Auditor-controller makes distributions of property tax for January – June 2013 ROPS. 18

January 15: Oversight board must review, approve, and transmit other funds audit to DOF, auditor-controller. 19

March 3: Successor agency submits ROPS for July 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013 to DOF after oversight board approval.<sup>20</sup>

April 1: County auditor-controller provides estimate of property tax payments to successor agency for upcoming six-month period.<sup>21</sup>

April 1: DOF completes review of other funds audit and reports findings, determinations, and decision to overturn oversight board decision to allow retention of successor agency assets.<sup>22</sup>

April 6 +/-: No later than 5 days after receiving DOF determination on other funds audit, successor agency may request meet and confer to resolve disputes with DOF findings. DOF must confirm or modify its determination and decisions within 30 days.

April 10: +/Successor agency to transfer other "cash and assets" audit payment to auditor-controller if meet and confer process complete. 23 City sales tax/property tax may be offset for unfunded amounts.

May 1: Successor agency reports to auditor-controller if total amount of available revenues will be insufficient to fund enforceable obligations.<sup>24</sup>

<sup>17</sup> Section 34179.6(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Section 34183(b).

<sup>19</sup> Section 34179.6(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Section 34177(m).

<sup>21</sup> Section 34182(c)(3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Section 34179.6(a)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Section 34179.6(f). The statute does not allow sufficient time between completion of DOF review on April 1 and required payment on April 10.

<sup>24</sup> Section 34183(b).

STATE CAPITOL & ROOM 1145 # SACRAMENTO CA # 95814-4998 # WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

July 11, 2012

## TO REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY REPRESENTATIVES

As part of our ongoing effort to work with Successor Agencies on the implementation of Assembly Bill 26, First Extraordinary Session (ABx1 26), the Department of Finance (Finance) would like to advise you of several new responsibilities and deadlines implemented by the recently enacted Assembly Bill 1484 (AB 1484, Chapter 26, Statutes of 2012). Specifically, AB 1484 establishes a catch-up process for revenues distributed in 2011-12. Going forward, AB 1484 expands the review time and creates a meet-and-confer process for future substantial's and processes. The measure also establishes incentives for compliance and penalties for noncompliance effective July 2012. These changes are described below, and Finance's website will continue to be updated to provide the most current information available.

## 2011-12 Catch-Up Process

AB 1484 establishes a catch-up process for the distribution of 2011-12 property taxes associated with the dissolution of redevelopment agencies. The timeline is short to ensure that the allocation of last year's revenues is quickly resolved.

- By July 9, 2012, county auditor-controllers must calculate the amount of residual property tax revenue that Successor Agencies owe to cities, counties, special districts, and K-14 schools (collectively known as Affected Taxing Entities) for the period covered by the January 2012 through June 2012 Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS). These calculations are based on the information reported by the Successor Agencies on the January 2012 through June 2012 ROPS.
- By July 12, 2012, Successor Agencies must remit to the county auditor-controller the residual property tax revenue identified in the aforementioned billing.
- By July 16, 2012, county auditor-controllers must distribute to the Affected Taxing Entities the residual property tax revenue remitted by the Successor Agencies.

### 2012-13 and Future Processes

AB 1484 extends the time available for Finance to review Successor Agency submittals. In addition, for each submittal, it creates the option of a meet-and-confer process for Agencies to appeal Finance decisions beginning with the first deliverable for 2012-13. These new procedures will provide significantly more opportunities to discuss the specific details of each Agency's submittals.

By August 1, 2012, Housing Successor Agencies must provide Finance a list of all
housing assets transferred to it by the Successor Agency since February 1, 2012. A
template for Housing Successor Agencies to use in reporting this information will soon
be posted on the Finance website. AB 1484 provides Finance 30 days to review the
list, and to question any transfers.

- By September 1, 2012, Successor Agencies must provide Finance with an Oversight Board-approved ROPS covering the January 2013 through June 2013 period. Finance will have 45 days to review the ROPS, and to object to any items that do not meet the definition of an Enforceable Obligation.
- By October 1, 2012, Successor Agencies must provide Finance an Oversight Boardapproved Due Diligence Review that has been prepared by a licensed accountant.
  This Due Diligence Review will list all encumbered and unencumbered low-andmoderate income housing fund (low-mod fund) assets, and will state whether or not
  those assets are encumbered by Enforceable Obligations. Finance has until
  November 9, 2012 to finalize its review of the submittals, and to determine which lowmod fund assets are not encumbered by Enforceable Obligations.
- By January 15, 2013, Successor Agencies must provide Finance a second Oversight Board-approved Due Diligence Review that has been prepared by a licensed accountant. This Due Diligence Review will list all encumbered and unencumbered assets of the Successor Agency that are from sources other than the low-mod fund. The Due Diligence Review also will state whether or not those assets are encumbered by Enforceable Obligations. Finance has until April 1, 2013 to finalize its review of the submittals, and to determine which assets are not encumbered by Enforceable Obligations.

### Incentives and Penalties

Once a Successor Agency has complied with the July payment process and the asset transfer provision, AB 1484 provides certain benefits to the Successor Agencies, and also to the cities and counties that operate those Agencies. These benefits are as follows:

- The city or county may be eligible to receive repayment of sums loaned to their former redevelopment agency (RDA) more than two years after the former RDA was created.
   Under ABx1 26, loans made by a city or county to its former RDA more than two years after it was created are generally ineligible for repayment.
- The city or county may be eligible to receive title to certain real properties of the former RDA, and use those properties for purposes outlined in the redevelopment plan of the former RDA. Under ABx1 26, those real properties must be liquidated, with the sales proceeds distributed to the Affected Taxing Entities.
- The Successor Agency may be eligible to use for their intended purpose the proceeds from certain bonds that were not contractually obligated before ABx1 26 was enacted. Under current law, those bond proceeds only can be used to defease the bonds.

To help ensure that counties, cities, special districts, schools, and community colleges are receiving the appropriate level of revenues, AB 1484 allows strict civil penalties to be imposed if Successor Agencies fail to remit revenues on time. These civil penalties are as follows:

The city or county that operates the Successor Agency shall be subject to civil penalties
equal to: (a) 10 percent of the residual property tax owed the Affected Taxing Entities
and (b) a monthly penalty of 1.5 percent of the amount owed the Affected Taxing
Entities while the payments are late.

The Successor Agency itself shall be subject to civil penalties equal to: (a) 10 percent
of the residual property tax owed the Affected Taxing Entities and (b) a monthly penalty
of 1.5 percent of the amount owed the Affected Taxing Entities while the payments are
late.

The Successor Agency also would be prohibited from making any future ROPS payments while the owed amount is outstanding, other than those ROPS payments needed for bond debt service.

The city or county that operates the Successor Agency shall be subject to interruption
of their monthly Sales and Use Tax remittance from the Board of Equalization until the
owed amounts are paid.

We hope this information is helpful. If you have follow up questions, you can reach Finance at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

ANA MATOSANTOS

Director

cc: County Auditor-Controllers

icc: REYES, COHEN, ROCKWELL, JERUE, SHELTON, C. HILL, MONROE, STACY, FILE

I:\Unit\Local Government\_Word\Redevelopment\760\_Director's Letter\_AB1484 July Process\_v2.docx